# ML4Q - Quantum Technologies - Tutorial Solutions Sheets 1 and 2

Mariami Gachechiladze, Lukas Franken

March 2020

# Sheet 1: Density matrix, Bloch states and Controlled Unitaries

## 1.1

We are given the state

$$|\psi\rangle = e^{i\phi} \left(\cos\frac{\theta}{2}|0\rangle + e^{i\alpha}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}|1\rangle\right)$$

a)

Construct the according density matrix via  $\rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ . First the global phase  $e^{i\phi}$  cancels due to adjoint  $\langle\psi|$ .

We obtain

$$\rho_{\psi} = |\psi\rangle\!\langle\psi| = \begin{pmatrix}\cos^{2}\frac{\theta}{2} & e^{-i\alpha}\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\\ e^{i\alpha}\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\theta}{2} & \sin^{2}\frac{\theta}{2}\end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix}\cos\theta + 1 & \sin\theta(\cos\alpha - i\sin\alpha)\\\sin\theta(\cos\alpha + i\sin\alpha) & 1 - \cos\theta\end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{\mathbb{I} + \cos\theta\,\sigma_{z} + \sin\theta\cos\alpha\,\sigma_{x} + \sin\theta\sin\alpha\,\sigma_{y}}{2}.$$

such that the coefficients are  $n_x = \sin \theta \cos \alpha$ ,  $n_y = \sin \theta \sin \alpha$  and  $n_z = \cos \theta$ . Using  $\sin^2 \phi + \cos^2 \phi = 1$  it is easy to see that  $||n|| \leq 1$ . In fact, even the equality holds, due to  $|\psi\rangle$  being a pure state, more on that later.

We can represent any mixed state  $\rho_M$  as a sum of pure states  $|\psi_i\rangle$ , such that

$$\rho_M = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle\!\langle\psi_i| = \sum_i p_i \rho_i$$

Using the linearity of the sum, we write

$$\rho_M = \sum_i p_i \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathbb{I} + n_{x_i} \sigma_x + n_{y_i} \sigma_y + n_{z_i} \sigma_z \right) \tag{1}$$

and just redefine the coefficients as  $\tilde{n}_k = \sum_i p_i n_{k_i}$  for  $k \in \{x, y, z\}$  and obtain again a valid description in the Bloch basis.

c)

We obtain  $\rho = \frac{\mathbb{I}}{2}$  from  $n_k = 0$  for  $k \in \{x, y, z\}$ . This refers to the maximally mixed state. (Can be checked via some measure of entropy)

#### d)

Show that  $\rho$  is pure iff ||n|| = 1

There are numerous (but mathematically equivalent) properties of a density matrix  $\rho$ , that show whether or not  $\rho$  refers to a mixed or a pure state. Here are some

(i) 
$$\rho = \rho^2$$

(*ii*) 
$$\text{Tr}[\rho^2] = 1$$

(*iii*) rank $\rho = 1$ 

```
(iv) \ldots
```

Here we will use the first one, i.e. it remains to show that  $\rho = \rho^2$  iff ||n|| = 1.

Compute  $\rho^2$  for

$$\rho = \frac{\mathbb{I} + n_x \sigma_x + n_y \sigma_y + n_z \sigma_z}{2}$$

This yields 16 terms, which are the following

- 6 terms: all terms between the I term and the  $\sigma$ -terms giving  $\rho \frac{1}{2}I$
- 6 terms between the  $\sigma$ -terms. These vanish due to anti-commutation  $[\sigma_i, \sigma_j]_+ = \delta_{ij} 2 \mathbb{I}$
- the 4 terms squared. These give  $\frac{1}{4}(1 + ||n||^2)\mathbb{I}$ .

Hence, summing all of these we will obtain  $\rho = \rho^2$  iff ||n|| = 1.

### 1.2

We consider the state

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} |0\rangle - \alpha |1\rangle ,$$

the Pauli matrices and the Hadamard gate

$$\sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \ H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

a)

We require  $\langle \psi | \psi \rangle = 1$ , i.e.  $1 = \frac{1}{3} + \alpha \cdot \alpha^*$ . This equation is satisfied for  $\alpha = e^{i\phi} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$ , therefore we cannot define  $\alpha$  uniquely, the phase remains arbitrary.

#### b)

 $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$  iff  $\phi = 0$ , hence the state is  $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} |0\rangle - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} |1\rangle$ . Action of said operators gives

• 
$$\sigma_x |\psi\rangle = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} |0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} |1\rangle$$

• 
$$\sigma_y |\psi\rangle = i \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} |0\rangle + i \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} |1\rangle$$

• 
$$\sigma_z |\psi\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} |0\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} |1\rangle$$

• 
$$H |\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \left( (1 - \sqrt{2}) |0\rangle + (1 + \sqrt{2}) |1\rangle \right)$$

#### c)

Acting with the Hadamard gate on the general density matrix  $\rho$  is given by  $H\rho H$ . Such an action switches coefficients to

$$H\rho H = \frac{\mathbb{I} + n_z \sigma_x + n_x \sigma_z - n_y \sigma_y}{2} \,.$$

This will not change ||n|| and the purity of the state. This makes sense as local operations can never change the correlation with another system, i.e. the entanglement with another system.

#### d)

When measuring in the  $\sigma_y$  basis we obtain either one of two eigenstates, which are given by

$$|+_{y}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + i |1\rangle) \qquad |-_{y}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - i |1\rangle)$$

the respective operators then are  $|+_y\rangle\langle+_y|$  and  $|-_y\rangle\langle-_y|$ , such that we can obtain the probability for each of them via

$$\mathbb{P}(+|y) = \mathrm{Tr}[|+\rangle\langle+|_{y} |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|] = |\langle\psi|+_{y}\rangle|^{2}.$$

Plugging in our state in this expression we get

$$\langle \psi | +_y \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} + i \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \right).$$

Of which we take the squared absolute value  $\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(+|y) = \frac{1}{2}$ .

## 1.3

#### a)

Define the Pauli group for n qubits as

$$P_n = \left\{ e^{i\theta\pi/2} \sigma_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_{j_n} | \theta = 0, 1, 2, 3, j_k = 0, 1, 2, 3 \right\}.$$
 (2)

We want to show that if we act on any Pauli matrix with one of said matrices we remain in the Pauli group.

Action on  $\sigma_0 = \mathbb{I}_2$ : All gates are unitary, hence by definition:  $U\mathbb{I}U^{\dagger} = \mathbb{I}$ 

Action on  $\sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ :

For the phase gate S:

$$S\sigma_z S^{\dagger} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

For Hadamard gate H:

$$HZH^{\dagger} = HZH = H\begin{pmatrix} |0\rangle\langle 0| & 0\\ 0 & -|1\rangle\langle 1| \end{pmatrix} H = \begin{pmatrix} |+\rangle\langle +| & 0\\ 0 & -|-\rangle\langle -| \end{pmatrix} = X$$

For CNOT gate:

$$CNOT_{12}Z_2CNOT_{12} = H_2CZ_{12}H_2Z_2H_2CZ_{12}H_2 = H_2CZ_{12}X_2CZ_{12}H_2$$
  
=  $H_2(|0\rangle\!\langle 0|_1 \otimes \mathbb{I}_2 + |1\rangle\!\langle 1|_1 \otimes Z_2)X_2CZ_{12}H_2 = H_2X_2(|0\rangle\!\langle 0|_1 \otimes \mathbb{I}_2 - |1\rangle\!\langle 1| \otimes Z_2)CZ_{12}H_2$   
=  $H_2X_2Z_1CZ_{12}CZ_{12}H_2 = Z_1 \otimes Z_2$   
Action on  $\sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ :

For S gates:

$$SXS^{\dagger} = Y \quad \Rightarrow \quad S^{\dagger}YS = X$$

For H gates: (us previous result)

$$HXH = Z$$

For CNOT gates:

$$CNOT_{12}X_2CNOT_{12} = H_2CZ_{12}H_2X_2H_2CZ_{12}H_2 =$$
$$= H_2CZ_{12}Z_2CZ_{12}H_2 = \mathbb{I}_1 \otimes X_2$$

b)

We are interested in a general single-qubit unitary U given by

$$U = R_z(\beta) R_y(\gamma) R_z(\delta) ,$$

where for  $k \in \{x, y, z\}$  the given functions are  $R_k(\theta) = \exp(-i\frac{\theta}{2}\sigma_k)$ . Our goal is to show that a two-qubit controlled-U gate can be built from a combination of CNOT gates and single-qubit rotations, according to the quantum circuit construction below:



Here, A, B and C are single-qubit gates, chosen as the following combinations of single-qubit rotations,

$$A = R_z(\beta) R_y(\gamma/2), \tag{3}$$

$$B = R_y(-\gamma/2)R_z(-(\delta+\beta)/2),\tag{4}$$

$$C = R_z((\delta - \beta)/2). \tag{5}$$

To show that figure creates the desired action, we split the task into three parts.

(i) Show that  $ABC = \mathbb{I}$  holds.

This is done by using commutation between equivalent operators  $[\sigma_k, \sigma_k] = 0$ .

$$ABC = e^{-i\frac{\beta}{2}\sigma_z} e^{-i\frac{\gamma}{4}\sigma_y} e^{i\frac{\gamma}{4}\sigma_y} e^{i\frac{(\delta+\beta)}{4}\sigma_z} e^{-i\frac{(\delta-\beta)}{4}\sigma_z} = e^{-i\frac{\beta}{2}\sigma_z} e^{i\frac{\beta}{2}\sigma_z} = \mathbb{I}$$

(i) Show that  $XR_y(\theta)X = R_y(-\theta)$ .

$$Xe^{-i\frac{\theta}{2}\sigma_y}X = X\left(\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\mathbb{I} - i\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\sigma_y\right)X = R_y(-\theta)$$
(6)

Also remember XZX = -Z

Use this equality to calculate AXBXC: We notice that XBX is

$$XBX = XR_y(-\frac{\gamma}{2})R_z(-\frac{(\delta+\beta)}{2})X = XR_yXXR_zX = R_y(\frac{\gamma}{2})R_z(\frac{(\delta+\beta)}{2})$$
(7)

Plugging this in we obtain AXBXC = U. Now we also consider also the controlled operation, giving us

$$A_2(|0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes \mathbb{I}_2 + |1\rangle\langle 1| \otimes X_2)B_2(|0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes \mathbb{I} + |1\rangle\langle 1| \otimes X_2)C_2 =$$
  
= |0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes A\_2B\_2C\_2 + |1\rangle\langle 1| \otimes A\_2X\_2B\_2X\_2C\_2 = |0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes \mathbb{I} + |1\rangle\langle 1| \otimes U

# Sheet 2: Entanglement

#### $\mathbf{2.1}$

a)

Show that the two-qubit pure state is entangled:

$$\left|\Psi^{+}\right\rangle = \frac{\left|00\right\rangle + \left|11\right\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

If the state is not entangled, but instead a product state, there exist probability amplitudes such that we can write

$$\left|\Psi^{+}\right\rangle = \left(\alpha_{1}\left|0\right\rangle + \beta_{1}\left|1\right\rangle\right) \otimes \left(\alpha_{2}\left|0\right\rangle + \beta_{2}\left|1\right\rangle\right).$$

To obtain the desired that we require  $\alpha_1\alpha_2 = \beta_1\beta_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  while also  $\alpha_1\beta_2 = \alpha_2\beta_1 = 0$ . Such variables do not exist, therefore the state is not a product state.

#### b)

Application of the Pauli matrices to the state gives

$$X_{1} |\Psi^{+}\rangle = X_{2} |\Psi^{+}\rangle = \frac{|01\rangle + |10\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$
$$Z_{1} |\Psi^{+}\rangle = Z_{2} |\Psi^{+}\rangle = \frac{|00\rangle - |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$
$$Y_{1} |\Psi^{+}\rangle = Y_{2} |\Psi^{+}\rangle = \frac{|10\rangle - |01\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

In the last equation we neglected the global phase.

The entanglement of the state remains unchanged as local operations never change entanglement.

c)

We show the (up to a local unitary) equivalence between the state  $|\Psi^+\rangle$  and applying the CZ gate to  $|+\rangle |+\rangle$ . Proof:

$$CZ_{12} |+\rangle \otimes |+\rangle = \left( |0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes \mathbb{I}_{2} + |1\rangle\langle 1| \otimes Z \right) |+\rangle \otimes |+\rangle =$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |+\rangle |0\rangle + |-\rangle |1\rangle \right)$$

Applying the Hadamard gate to the first qubit gives the desired state  $|\Psi^+\rangle$ .

d)

We evaluate the mixture of multiple entangled states using the density matrix formalism, such that we obtain

$$\begin{split} \rho &= \frac{1}{2} \left| \Psi^+ \middle\rangle \! \left\langle \Psi^+ \right| + \frac{1}{2} Z_2 \left| \Psi^+ \middle\rangle \! \left\langle \Psi^+ \right| Z_2 = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left| 0 \middle\rangle \! \left\langle 0 \right|_1 \otimes \left| 0 \middle\rangle \! \left\langle 0 \right|_2 + \frac{1}{2} \left| 1 \middle\rangle \! \left\langle 1 \right|_1 \otimes \left| 1 \right\rangle \! \left\langle 1 \right|_2 \end{split}$$

which is not an entangled state.

For an unequal mixing according to

$$\rho = p \left| \Psi^+ \right\rangle \!\! \left\langle \Psi^+ \right| + (1-p)Z_2 \left| \Psi^+ \right\rangle \!\! \left\langle \Psi^+ \right| Z_2 = \\ = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & p - \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p - \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

By the PPT criterion, this state is entangled for  $p \neq \frac{1}{2}$ .